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Electrical Universe
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Ganymede Hypothesis  Basic Idea

**Axis Tilts**

The basic ideas of the Ganymede hypothesis are not complicated. Starting from the most common idea of solar systems forming up from swirling masses of dust or plasma, you would anticipate the spin axes of the bodies in a solar system to all be roughly perpendicular to the plane of the system. That, of course, is not what we find within our own system.

Within our system, we have the sun, Jupiter, and Mercury which do look sort of like that, all with axis tilts less than 10°. You should assume that those three bodies were an original system. But it starts to get strange from there. Venus and Uranus have oddball axis tilts and each has its own separate little story. But the other four main bodies in the system, Neptune, Saturn, Mars, and Earth all have the same roughly 26° axis tilt. That is a huge anomaly.

**Saturn, Neptune, Mars, Earth captured as a group**

You have to assume that those four bodies were captured as a group, probably recently, but then you get to the question of why being captured as a group would cause all four to have roughly the same axis tilt.

The answer is as follows: early solar systems do not form up from swirling clouds of dust being acted upon by gravity. They're formed by the Birkeland currents which you see in space which are created by the charge separation over immense distances in space. A Birkeland current is a twisted pair of currents looking somewhat like an RNA/DNA string. At the point at which these pairs of currents cross each other, you have electrical short-circuiting and what is called Z-pinch effect. Those pinch points have more than enough power to agglomerate the plasma of space into much more solid objects, proto-stars and stars. A Birkeland current with such bodies at its pinch points is called a Herbig/Haro string, basically an early solar system. These things look like a shish kebab in which the shishes are lit up, that is, like a string of Christmas tree lights with the wires pulled taught.

What appears to be the case is that the southern system (Neptune, Saturn, Mars, Earth), some tens of thousands of years ago, was still in the form of such an electromagnetic alignment and flew into the plane of the sun/Jupiter/Mercury system from the South at a roughly 26° angle and, as the individual bodies peeled off and began to orbit the way they do now, ordinary gyroscopic force caused them to retain that 26° angle of approach in the form of axis tilts.

**Prehistoric Double System**

That also says that, prior to that final capture event, our system was a double system with a very bright northern part, and then a very dark southern part. Rocky bodies like Earth and Mars aligned with a dwarf star such as Saturn was at that time, will most often be INSIDE the plasma heliosphere of that dwarf star. Radiant energy will bounce off the interior of that plasma heliosphere and then on to the Rocky bodies from every direction so that something living on that body won't just freeze, but the entire middle part of the light spectrum simply be missing.
**Purple Dawn**

The literature speaks of red and Brown dwarf stars but the reality is that what you would get within such a system would be a very deep dark purple, hence the notion of a “Purple Dawn” which you read about in mythology books and which the oral traditions of the oldest human groups describe. Thus also amongst the old creatures of the earth (lemurs, tarsiers, Bush babies, owls etc.) and particularly amongst dinosaurs and hominids such as the Neanderthal, you see huge eye sockets and eyes adapted for such a darkish environment. Neanderthal eyes sockets are very much larger than ours.


**First near capture event:**

![Diagram](image)

**Humans**

Humans and Dolphins have the smallest relative eye sizes of advanced creatures; you have to assume that they arose within the bright side of that ancient double system. And there was one particular place within that ancient northern system, i.e. Jupiter's largest moon, Ganymede, which would have been a freshwater ocean world under those conditions with both islands and floating bergs of pumice and luxuriant vegetation. In other words, an ideal home world for humans.

**Saturn Theories**

Holden/Mclachlan version vs other versions: Local genesis as a HH object/string, no capture of dwarf stars from interstellar distances.
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Troy McLachlan’s video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8RtGal_-KXU&t=27s

Lightning rod analogy for capture of dwarf stars
www.Thunderbolts.info site

Symbols of an Alien Sky:
https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=symbols+of+an+alien+sky

Shamesh/Chemosh

![Shamesh/Chemosh](image)

**Mars Images**
http://www.bearfabrique.org/blackspace.htm

Squirrel or similar small rodent (http://www.nasa.gov/images/content/694114main_Watkins-2-pia16204_full.jpg):

![Squirrel or similar small rodent](image)

Girl in yellow smock (MSL 1298:)

![Girl in yellow smock](image)
Ganymede Hypothesis and People

- On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/groups/514483018695199/
- On Youtube: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCBZ1RMqjKk8pp_TB_jfeBHQ
- Paperback: https://www.amazon.com/Cosmos-Collision-BWE2-Prehistory-System/dp/1981897720
In the case of modern humans there appear to be two basic groups i.e. people descended from Adam and Noah (most of us), and Pre-Adamite/Cro Magnon man (the so-called indigenous peoples of the world) who came here much earlier than the time period of Genesis. That is the meaningful division. Race and/or color appear to be less meaningful, either group appearing to be capable of producing any color or feature. There is very little genetic variation amongst humans compared to the situation with most animals.

The people Before Genesis

The first part of Genesis describes the creation of Adam and Eve and reads as if to mean that Adam and Eve were the first two humans ever to live on Earth; that is the way most people read the first section of Genesis. And yet, a slightly more alert reader will note the following, shortly after the story of the creation of Adam and Eve:

GEN 4:9  And the LORD said unto Cain, Where is Abel thy brother? And he said, I know not: Am I my brother's keeper?

GEN 4:10  And he said, What hast thou done? the voice of thy brother's blood crieth unto me from the ground.

GEN 4:11  And now art thou cursed from the earth, which hath opened her mouth to receive thy brother's blood from thy hand;

GEN 4:12  When thou tillest the ground, it shall not henceforth yield unto thee her strength; a fugitive and a vagabond shalt thou be in the earth.

GEN 4:13  And Cain said unto the LORD, My punishment is greater than I can bear.

GEN 4:14  Behold, thou hast driven me out this day from the face of the earth; and from thy face shall I be hid; and I shall be a fugitive and a vagabond in the earth; and it shall come to pass, that every one that findeth me shall slay me.

GEN 4:15  And the LORD said unto him, Therefore whosoever slayeth Cain, vengeance shall be taken on him sevenfold. And the LORD set a mark upon Cain, lest any finding him should kill him.

Who was Cain worried about killing him when, supposedly, he, Adam, and Eve were the only three humans on the Earth? A religious purist might try to claim he was worried about Adam
and Eve having other children and those children eventually killing him but that is grasping at straws; surely God could have simply instructed Adam and Eve to teach such other children to refrain from harming Cain.

Genesis 4 reads entirely as if there were other people living on Earth at the time when Cain slew Abel and the Old Testament, being generally laconic, does not bother to explain things which are assumed to be common knowledge.

There is no completely good word for the people who lived prior to Adam:

- The term "Pre-Adamite" is now politically incorrect since it was being used in racist tracts in the late 1800s and early 1900s.
- The term "Cro Magnon" has fallen into disuse because scientists could never agree as to what groups to include.
- The terms 'Anatomically Modern Human' or 'Early Modern Human' and the acronyms AMH and EMH are problematical since scientists tend to lump gracile (Skhul/Qafzeh) hominids into that category, which is wrong for several reasons.

Troy McLachlan and I use the term Cro Magnon to indicate all people living prior to Adam, although there is no way to know how many such saltations there may have been. Standard theories have Cro Magnon man arising something like 45,000 years ago. Remnant Cro Magnons likely account for the "non people" who Cain was worried about.

There was no continuum between Cro Magnon man and the people of Genesis. If there had been, there is a list of things which Jewish literature would have to know about and that knowledge simply is not there. That list would include

- Stone tools. There was never any sort of a stone age amongst the people of Genesis, who were metal tech people from day one.
- The atlatl, the signature weapon of Cro Magnon man. Aztecs were using it against the Spanish and native Australians are still using it to hunt kangaroos today, but Jewish and other Mediterranean-basin literatures know nothing about it. The weapons of the Bible are the sword, spear, sling, and bow.
- Hominids, particularly the Neanderthal which Basque folklore refers to as “Basajaun”. Cro Magnon man fought a paleolithic world war which generally exterminated all hominids from the planet other than for a very few isolated and small groups which may or may not have survived into modern times in the form of “Bigfoot” and similar creatures, IF any such exist.
- A Purple Dawn age of perpetual twilight before the final capture of the Saturnian/Southern part of our system which included Mars and Earth.
Alexander was always looking for edges in projectile weapons and yet, the idea of the atlatl eluded him:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pdwplYV9ilM

And, again if there had been any sort of a continuum between Cro Magnon man and the people of Genesis, there is one overwhelmingly huge thing which Cro Magnon man would have to have had, i.e. the wheel. None of the Cro Magnon descended groups appear ever to have had wheels, at least originally. Spaniards were totally flummoxed by this lack of wheels amongst the otherwise sophisticated peoples of Central and South America.

There is one way to explain a group of people not having wheels of course, which arises from Cosmos in Collision and the Ganymede Hypothesis: If your ancestors had just spent the last 20,000 or 100,000 years living in water, the idea of a wheel might never occur to you. Cosmos in Collision includes an update of Elaine Morgan’s “Aquatic Ape” thesis.

Again there is no meaningful genetic difference between the two human groups. The original difference was in the cultures and technologies, which were totally different because of the huge expanse of time between the two saltations.

**What do we know about Cro Magnon Man?**

For starters, the most major thing which we DON’T know about Cro Magnon or Pre-Adamite man, is exactly how many such separate groups of them arrived on Earth via separate events. Troy McLachlan and I use the term Cro Magnon to mean all humans living prior to Adam and Eve as a means of simplifying the discussion, but there could have been more than one such group. There is also a quesiton as to how many times advanced cultures might have risen and then fallen again in the huge space of time since the earliest such Cro Magnon groups.

One thing scholars all agree on is that whatever caused Cro Magnon people to appear on this planet when they did was not gradual. Danny Vendramini ("Them and Us") notes:

“The speed of the Upper Palaeolithic revolution in the Levant was also breathtaking. Anthropologists Ofer Bar-Yosef and Bernard Vandermeersch:

“Between 40,000 and 45,000 years ago the material culture of western Eurasia changed more than it had during the previous million years. This efflorescence of technological and artistic creativity signifies the emergence of the first culture that observers today would recognise as distinctly human, marked as it was by unceasing invention and variety. During that brief period of 5,000 or so years, the stone tool kit, unchanged in its essential form for ages, suddenly began to differentiate wildly from century to century and from region to region. Why it happened and why it happened when it did constitute two of the greatest outstanding problems in paleoanthropology.”
Likewise Dwardu Cardona ("Flare Star"):  

"Where and how the Cro-Magnons first arose remains unknown. Their appearance, however, coincided with the most bitter phase of the ice age. There is, however, no doubt that they were more advanced, more sophisticated, than the Neanderthals with whom they shared the land. Living in larger and more organized groups than had earlier humans, Cro Magnon peoples spread out until they populated most of the world. Their tools, made of bone, stone, and even wood, were carved into harpoons, awls, and fish hooks. They were presumably able hunters although, as with the Neanderthals, they would also have foraged to gather edible plants, roots, and wild vegetables. The only problem here is that, as far as can be told, the Cro Magnons seem to have arrived on the scene without leaving a single trace of their evolutionary ancestors. Ian Tattersall observed:

'When the first Cro Magnons arrived in Europe some 40,000 years ago, they evidently brought with them more or less the entire panoply of behaviors that distinguishes modern humans from every other species that has ever existed.'"

There is also a question of artwork, i.e. going from hominids with no artistic capabilities whatsoever to the Cro Magnon Sistine Chapel at Lascaux, with no evidence to be found in the world of any sort of a run-up to that. That obviously is not compatible with the idea of humans evolving from apes and/or hominids.

What that **IS** compatible with is the idea that Cro Magnon man, fully formed, **CAME** here from somewhere else in our system, which is the basic thesis of Cosmos in Collision (www.cosmosincollision.com) and the short video titled “Ganymede Hypothesis, Human Origins:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZUR6ROFnIQE
Humans and Hominids: the Lack of Relation

The idea of humans evolving from hominids is not tenable. For any hominid to have evolved into humans, that hominid would have to have:

- Lost his fur while ice ages were going on.
- Lost almost all of his night vision while living in the perpetual twilight of the “Purple Dawn” age and while surrounded by predators which could see very nicely in the dark.
- Lost almost all of his sense of smell while trying to survive as a land prey animal.

That third item would have been more or less instantly fatal for a land prey animal. Aquatic mammals, of course, do not really require a keen sense of smell…

The one other shot somebody might take at a hominid ==> human evolution claim would be to claim, as does Vendramini, that Cro Magnon man arose from gracile (Skhul/Qafzeh) hominids. Vendramini claims that predation BY Neanderthals drove those gracile hominids into a fast process of evolution into Cro Magnon man. Nonetheless, from Shreve's "Neanderthal Peace", we note:

"Here in the Levant, however, the arrival of anatomically modern humans was marked by no fancy new tools, not to mention no painted caves, beaded necklaces, or other evidence of exploding Cro-Magnon couture. In this part of the world, how modern a hominid looked in its body said nothing about how modernly it behaved.

You can't expect anybody to believe that Cro Magnon man with his grand art and his advanced tools and weapons arose in very little time from something like that, PARTICULARLY when there is a vastly better explanation to hand as per the Ganymede Hypothesis.

The SQ hominids were basically just other hominids which had developed a bit more in the way of morphological similarity to modern humans than usual.

What Do We Know about Hominids?

The term “hominid” pertains to several related families of creatures which were/are native to our planet (as opposed to humans). Humans very definitely cannot be viewed as another family of hominids. For the purposes of this discussion, we will concern ourselves with the following groups of hominids.

- Homo Erectus.
- The Neanderthal.
- The Skhul/Qafzeh hominids of Northern Israel and Southern Lebanon.
- The “archaic giants” whose remains were being found in burial mounds in Ohio and other parts of the United States in the 1700s and 1800s.
- The “Sasquatch”, or “BigFoot”.
Evolutionists generally believe in a line of descent running through monkeys, apes, hominids, and then modern humans but that general paradigm is in disarray at present. The best known and most recent hominid and the one you’d normally assume was the last non-human ancestor of modern man is the Neanderthal but studies show Neanderthal DNA to be about halfway between ours and that of a chimpanzee, which eliminates the Neanderthal as a plausible human ancestor. The most common claim you hear now is that humans and the Neanderthal are “cousins”, with some “common ancestor”, usually taken to be homo Heidelbergensis, several hundred thousand years back. That of course is nonsensical: “too genetically remote to be ancestral to” is a transitive relationship; that is like claiming that wolves are too genetically remote from foxes to be ancestral to them and that, therefore, foxes must be descended directly from fish.

If that isn’t bad enough, the popular image of the Neanderthal, that is, the image which scientists proclaim and which the media carries, has been corrupted by pseudo-religious and pseudo-ideological ideas over the past several decades until we have a situation in which the conception which scientists and scholars had of the Neanderthal in the late 19’th and early 20’th century is **MORE** accurate than the image which scholars have today. Late 19’th century scholars had a pretty good idea of what they were seeing in Neanderthal remains, e.g. Marcellin Boule’s depiction:
Or Ignatius Donnelly’s description (“Ragnarok, the Age of Fire and Gravel”):

In another cave, in the Neanderthal, near Hochdale, between Düsseldorf and Elberfeld, a skull was found which is the most ape-like of all known human crania. The male to whom it belonged must have been a barbarian brute of the rudest possible type.... ...the horrible and beast-like proportions of "the Neanderthal skull" speak, with no less certainty, of undeveloped, brutal, savage man, only a little above the gorilla in capacity;--a prowler, a robber, a murderer, a cave-dweller, a cannibal, a Cain.

It’s a safe bet that Donnelly would not have allowed his daughter to marry such a person.

Again, however, in more recent decades, the Neanderthal has become a sort of a poster child for what some call Kum-bay-ah religion and popular images show a person just a little different from any of us:

But are any of those more recent images believable? The following is what we actually know about the Neanderthal:

- Neanderthal DNA was roughly halfway between ours and that of a chimpanzee. That eliminates any possibility of humans being descended from Neanderthals via any process resembling evolution.
• His skull was a very good match for an ape's profile, and a bad match for one of ours.
• No Neanderthal needles (Cro Magnon needles are common); a creature with a 6" ice-age fur coat simply doesn't require needles...
• Footprints more apelike than human.
• Rib cages were conical as are those of primates (to make room for the gigantic upper body musculature); our rib cages are cylindrical.
• Eye sockets and nasal areas much larger than ours.
• Placement of noses and eyes on faces much different (higher) than for humans.
• We know that the mindset of the Neanderthal was similar to that of an African lion. He viewed the living world as neatly divided into two categories: his own family group and meat. Even other Neanderthal families were on the menu, and they find the remains of Neanderthal groups with clear butchering marks made by flint knives.
• We know (Rob Gargett) that if you put the skulls of a human, a Neanderthal, and lion together, the two which have much of anything in common are the Neanderthal and the lion.
• We know that Neanderthal population dynamics were similar to those of other predators, and that there were never more than around 10,000 – 15,000 Neanderthals alive on the planet at any one time.
• We know that the Neanderthal could adapt to an omnivorous diet when it was available but that, in the setting of the European ice age, he was for all intents and purposes a pure carnivore.
• We know that Neanderthals were not giants... a tall one might go 5-10 or 6'. But a male Neanderthal could easily have stood 5-9 and weighed 300 pounds with no extra weight on him.

**Vendramini's Neanderthal Reconstructions**

None of the images noted above nor any which you are likely to see in most media depictions match up with any of these realities. But there is a New Zealand Scholar named Danny Vendramini who has produced Neanderthal reproductions which match ALL of what we actually know about Neanderthal remains and his works may be seen in a book titled “Them and Us”, a website of the same name ([www.themandus.org](http://www.themandus.org)), and a youtube video titled “Neanderthal, Profile of a Super predator”).
The fur has been removed on some of those images for illustration, but you get the idea. So much for the claim of humans having evolved from hominids… In fact, as per the thesis of Cosmos in Collision, humans with their relatively tiny bright-world eyes and hominids with their very much larger dark-world/Purple-Dawn adapted eyes did not even come from the same world. Hominids were native to Earth and humans arose in the bright northern part of the original double system.

**Interbreeding**

There is also a claim that, because some humans have a certain small number of genes in common with Neanderthals, that humans and Neanderthals must have interbred. (23&me…) That amounts to thinking that a Neanderthal male could/would rape a woman and, rather than cooking and eating her afterwards as usual, somehow or other keep her alive long enough to bear a cross-species child, raise that child to reproductive age, and have him/her breed back into human populations without anybody catching on, i.e. the claim is ridiculous.

In real life:

- Neanderthal females would kill that woman the first time her new owner left her alone for ten minutes.
- The woman wouldn’t fare any better than the subjects of the commie attempts to breed humans and apes into super workers in the 1930s.
- Humans would notice the child was different (really different...)
- And humans would kill that child and everybody else like him as part of the same program which killed out the Neanderthal. They would not need DNA tests to determine who to kill for that sort of reason, it would be exceedingly obvious.

The Neanderthal died out in a wave going from East to West as he encountered Cro Magnon humans with the last Neanderthal stand in Europe being in Southern Spain. There is just no way that humans who were conducting such a total genocide war would have tolerated half-breeds in their own midst. That is, even assuming that such cross-breeding was possible.

But what about the common genes you ask?? The claim (Svante Paabo at the Max Planck Institute) is that humans of Asian and European descent share a few genes with the Neanderthal,
but that people of African descent do not. There is an obvious problem in that if that were true, then Africans would be hugely different from others and the basic genetic variation of humans would be huge rather than minuscule as it actually is.

I have also noted in this regard that there is a far more believable way in which a few hominid genes might have crossed over, which would be Cro Magnon war parties **EATING** dead neanderthals after some sort of a pitched battle and that only sounds stupid until you read up on it a bit:

Henry Gee in The Guardian:

http://www.theguardian.com/science/2001/feb/12/genetics.guardiananalysispage

The chilling part is that reverse transcriptase is a key feature of retroviruses such as HIV-1, the human immunodeficiency virus. Much of the genome itself - at least half its bulk - may have consisted of DNA that started out, perhaps millions of years ago, as independent viruses or virus-like entities. To make matters worse, hundreds of genes, containing instructions for at least 223 proteins, seem to have been imported directly from bacteria. Some are responsible for features of human metabolism otherwise hard to explain away as quirks of evolution - such as our ability to metabolise psychotropic drugs. Thus, monoamine oxidase is involved in metabolising alcohol.

If the import of bacterial genes for novel purposes (such as drug resistance) sounds disturbing and familiar, it should - this is precisely the thrust of much research into the genetic modification of organisms in agriculture or biotechnology.

What you need to picture is some Cro Magnon war party traipsing around the Alps until somewhere around 4 PM they fight a pitched battle with a Neanderthal family group; those guys are cut, bleeding, dead tired, there are ten or twelve Neanderthals lying around dead, and one of the Cro Magnons says something like:

"Man, this has been a hell of a day! I’m so hungry I could eat just about anything and I’m way too tired to go off hunting right now, what the hell is there to eat around this place??"

You shouldn’t need to be Albert Einstein to figure out what those guys are eating that evening and, unless those early humans were to cook a Neanderthal very thoroughly, which is highly unlikely under the kinds of conditions which would have been in question, you'd still be talking about bacterial content and the possibility of bacterial insertion of genes:

**Hominids in Literature**

As far as is known, there is only one reference to hominids in Jewish literature which occurs in the writings of Flavius Josephas, a Jewish historian writing for Roman audiences about a
The Iliad contains a similar vague reference to what were small numbers of leftover hominids and may in fact have been leftover Neanderthals:

“...These were the strongest generation of earth-born mortals, the strongest, and they fought against the strongest, the beast men living within the mountains, and terribly they destroyed them. I was of the company of these men, coming from Pylos, a long way from a distant land, since they had summoned me...”

**And in Artwork**

One of the last human groups to ever get any sort of a good look at Neanderthals would likely have been the Spanish Basque. The “Basajaun” from Basque folklore and oral tradition is almost certainly a reflection of the Neanderthal:
The main thing which jumps out at viewers of Danny Vendramini’s Neanderthal reconstructions is the huge dark-world eyes:

Consider some of the images which turn up in studies of the ancient world..... You could easily get the impression that ancient artists in more than one place in the world had a sort of a penchant for drawing and/or sculpting a sort of a low-brow type with large to very-large eyes, the two guys on the right in this image in particular:
Native Australians in fact have a generic name for all such i.e. "wandjina":

From ancient Sumeria, said to pre-date written documents:
Julian Jaynes thought that the eyes in these kinds of statues served some sort of a bicameral purpose and they may have. Nonetheless there is at least one other possible explanation and it doesn't really involve bicameralism.

The Neanderthal was killed out in a wave going from East to West with the last one apparently dying in Southern Spain something like 28,000 years ago. Now, fast forward to about 8000 years ago. Remember Gunnar Heinsohn's statement that in human affairs, a thousand years is an absolutely gigantic expanse of time; 20,000 years would be an unimaginably gigantic expanse of time.

A reasonable GUESS might be that there would no longer have been any sort of photo albums or anything like that showing accurate depictions of Neanderthals or any of his hominid cousins by that time. Some artist would walk up to the local shaman, priest, or story-teller and say something like: "Hey, I need to draw something really different here, you got any sort of ideas along the lines of out-of-the-way artistic themes??"

And the priest or shaman might reply "You know, they say that a very long time ago, there were magical people with really huge eyes, and their heads went straight back from their eye-brows....."