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Evolution

The educated lay person is not aware of how overwhelmingly evolution has been debunked over the last century. The following is a minimal list of entire categories of evidence disproving evolution:

- The decades-long experiments with fruit flies beginning in the early 1900s. Those tests were intended to demonstrate macroevolution; the failure of those tests was so unambiguous that a number of prominent scientists disavowed evolution at the time.
- The discovery of the DNA/RNA info codes (information codes do not just sort of happen...)
- The fact that the info code explained the failure of the fruit-fly experiments (the whole thing is driven by information and the only info there ever was in that picture was the info for a fruit fly...)
- The discovery of bio-electrical machinery within 1-celled animals.
- The question of irreducible complexity.
- The Haldane Dilemma. That is, the gigantic spaces of time it would take to spread any genetic change through an entire herd of animals.
- The increasingly massive evidence of a recent age for dinosaurs. This includes soft tissue being found in dinosaur remains, good radiocarbon dates for dinosaur remains (blind tests at the University of Georgia's dating lab), and native American petroglyphs clearly showing known dinosaur types.
- The fact that the Haldane dilemma and the recent findings related to dinosaurs amount to a sort of a time sandwich (evolutionites need quadrillions of years and only have a few tens of thousands).
- The dna analysis eliminating neanderthals and thus all other hominids as plausible human ancestors.
- The total lack of intermediate fossils where the theory demands that the bulk of all fossils be clear intermediate types. "Punctuated Equilibria" in fact amounts to an attempt to get around both the Haldane dilemma and the lack of intermediate fossils, but has an entirely new set of overwhelming problems of its own...
- The question of genetic entropy.
- The obvious evidence of design in nature.
- The arguments arising from pure probability and combinatoric considerations.
The Einstein/Ali Analogy

The day on which the standard poetry recital requirement on Wednesday afternoons ended for all time in my 12’th grade English class was the day of the first Ali/Liston fight, on which about a dozen of the students came in with Ali’s latest poem, “By the Will of Heaven, He’ll Fall in Seven” or something very close to that. About the seventh time Mrs. XXX heard that, she was starting to turn green around the mouth and had to leave the room, and Sonny Liston never made it out for round eight that night either. Ali obviously had taken out Mrs. XXX and Sonny Liston both according to prediction, on the same day; if that wasn’t the greatest, it would clearly have to do, as Fats Waller used to say, until the real thing came along.

Was Ali really “the greatest”, however? Ali was certainly one of the best if not THE best pure athlete ever to earn his living by boxing, but that is not exactly the same thing as being one of the great prizefighters. Ali never had any kind of a punch with his left hand which he could really hurt people with and he never had the kinds of combinations of heavy punches you see with fighters like Joe Louis or Roberto Duran. Nobody who follows boxing would give him any chance in a fifteen round fight with Joe Louis, both in their primes. Ali was basically a heavyweight version of Willie Pep, one of the better boxers and one of the worst poets the world had seen to that date.

There is in fact thus a natural comparison to be made between Albert Einstein and Muhammed Ali. Of all the people who ever earned their living doing physics, Einstein was probably the man with the highest IQ. However, that may not be the same thing as having been history’s greatest physicist. Science is supposed to be about discovering and examining evidence, and not substituting intellectual gymnastics like thought experiments FOR evidence.
Nicola Tesla

Nicola Tesla's plan:

“...to use the Earth itself as the medium for conducting the currents, thus dispensing with wires and all other artificial conductors,” by means of “a machine which, to explain its operation in plain language, resembled a pump in its action, drawing electricity from the Earth and driving it back into the same at an enormous rate, thus creating ripples or disturbances which, spreading through the Earth as through a wire, could be detected at great distances by carefully attuned receiving circuits. In this manner I was able to transmit to a distance, not only feeble effects for the purposes of signaling, but considerable amounts of energy, and later discoveries I made convinced me that I shall ultimately succeed in conveying power without wires, for industrial purposes, with high economy, and to any distance, however great.” (1901, Collier’s Weekly).

Obviously, if that plan had ever come to fruition, nobody would be talking about nuclear fusion power for electricity. In other words, the last century could and should have been the century during which the earth was transformed into something resembling a garden of Eden with essentially free energy for all of the people of the earth, rather than the century of communism, Nazism, world wars, terrorism, destruction, and horror.

The man who almost single-handedly destroyed the good plan and the dream was J.P. Morgan, who viewed that plan as ultimate disruptive technology, and plainly bad for business. The destruction involved the personal destruction of Nicola Tesla and also the creation of the shibboleth of Albert Einstein who, with his bullshit theory of relativity, was meant to replace Tesla in the popular imagination as the sunshine superman figure in the world of science.

Morgan had to have known that relativity was bullshit, but he plainly viewed it as OUR bullshit; it very quickly became impossible to say anything reasonable about Einstein or relativity while attempting to hold any sort of a scientific career together.

Recently, there have been a number of claims that this dream of Nicola Tesla has in fact been realized, e.g.

https://futurism.com/stanford-scientists-are-making-wirele.../
Doc Green Interview March 2018

I would strongly recommend the following two articles which describe what happened with Nicola Tesla and how Albert Einstein came to be widely regarded as "the smartest man who ever lived (TM)"

**Paul Dunbar:**

https://thelethaltext.me/2017/07/26/tesla-erasure/

https://thelethaltext.me/2017/08/06/einstein/
Einstein and Relativity

Tesla: (curved space is an oxymoron)

“I hold that space cannot be curved, for the simple reason that it has no properties.”

Paul Dunbar:

Dudley notes that while Einstein used legitimate observation-based methods in his papers on the photo-electric effect and Brownian movement, in developing Relativity he “allowed himself to become an integral part, in fact a leading disciple, of the ‘school’ which made use of metaphysical mathematics.”

Tesla was scathing:

“Today’s scientists have substituted mathematics for experiments, and they wander off through equation after equation, and eventually build a structure which has no relation to reality.”

Gravity Only Cosmology

Paul Dunbar:

In 1916 Einstein produced his Theory of General Relativity, a truncated metaphysical cosmology which excluded the essential discoveries of the electrical movement and enthroned gravity as the sole architect of the universe. The theory failed to define mass, energy or gravity.

Holden (2 problems):

1. The change in gravity:
2. The instantaneous propagation of gravity (Van Flandern):
Einstein bestiary (Paul Dunbar):

While the theory [relativity] gives no explanation of the nature or mechanics of the force of gravity, that doesn’t stop its practitioners improvising never-ending cadenzas of gravity-inspired fictions: black holes and event horizons, dark matter, dark energy, singularities, string theory, WIMPS (weakly interacting massive particles), MACHOs (Massive Compact Halo Objects), neutron stars, gravitational collapse, gravitons, gravity waves, quantum gravity, gravitational lensing, gravitational radiation, the Schwarzschild Radius, anti-gravity, and quantum field theory, to name but a few. The never-ending chaos of the quantum model of reality grows less convincing with every patch and bypass. The latest vaunted ‘discovery’ of the Higgs-Boson particle via the collider at CERN, at a cost of more than 13 billion euros, is merely the latest such patch. CERN, whatever else it is, would appear to be a money sink, like military and space-program expenditure, designed mainly to direct huge flows of money into the pockets of the few while laughing at the knowledge-deprived masses.

An example of the way the system tries to maintain the illusion was last year’s ‘gravity waves.’

Destruction of the 2016 gravity wave claim (Crothers):
http://vixra.org/abs/1603.0127
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nXF098w48fo

What Einstein was trying to do:
The book I'd normally recommend to somebody wishing a basic grasp of relativity would be Lewis Carroll Epstein's Relativity Visualized:

Epstein uses the following analogy for what Einstein did or tried to do: Imagine that you have a house in which all windows and every door other than for one worked and opened and shut easily but that the one door was binding. Normally you'd simply plane material from the one door until it worked properly. But you
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COULD go to your local Walmart and buy a couple of hundred jacks and jack the foundation of the house until the one door worked, and then re-adjust every other door and window in the house and/or plane THEM or do whatever it took to ensure that they all worked again.... In the analogy of course, the house is modern physics, the one bad door is light, and the other doors and windows are all of the other things in the house of physics, time, distance, inertia, velocity... Epstein claims that relativity is the one case you would ever encounter in which this second approach was the right one but it seems sufficiently obvious to me that this is a gross violation of Occam's basic principle and that there could never be such a case.

Michelson/Morley reruns:
http://www.orgonelab.org/miller.htm
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g_loyzL9Wi4

In a 1986 letter to Nature[42] Ernest W. Silvertooth reported that he constructed an interferometer capable of detecting the absolute motion of the Earth with respect to the ether. In Experimental detection of the ether[43] and Motion through the Ether[44], Silvertooth reported that on the particular day of his measurements, the Earth moved at 378 km/s towards the constellation Leo. If relativity is correct, than this result should be complete garbage.

Silvertooth published his findings before NASA launched COBE, the first satellite to accurately measure the cosmic microwave background (CMB). Due to Doppler shift, there is a slight anisotropy in the spectrum of the CMB. Based on precise measurements of this anisotropy, it was determined that, relative to the CMB, the heliocentric frame moves at 390 km/s towards Leo. Given the earth's orbital speed of 30 km/s, this is a very good agreement with Silvertooth's measurement. In a refined experiment[45], Silvertooth and Whitney confirmed the earlier result and found a speed of \( v = 378 \) km/s.

A citation search through ISI Web of Science[47] reveals no references to any of Silvertooth's papers in the mainstream scientific literature. An online
document[46] briefly mentions and dismisses it on the grounds that both the experiment and the theoretical analysis are flawed, but given how well Silvertooth's result agrees with the independently determined motion of the Earth through the CMB, error seems to be an insufficient explanation. Unless Silvertooth committed outright fraud by simply making a lucky guess as to the Earth's velocity relative to the CMB and then ascribing this guess to an imaginary experiment, the inescapable conclusion would be that translation can be measured by purely electromagnetic means and that Einstein's theory of special relativity is falsified.

The Expanding Universe

Halton Arp: High and low redshift objects which are clearly part and parcel of the same thing
The Big Bang
Based almost entirely on the idea of an expanding universe, which Halton Arp annihilated.
Bad physics and bad cosmology rolled into a package.
Eric Lerner:
http://www.bigbangneverhappened.org

Dark Matter/Dark Energy
Search for DM falls flat:
Can gravity hold two motes of dust together from 1/5 of a mile away…
Needed only for a gravity-only cosmology
Don Scott:
"Fantastic Ad-hoc Inventions Repeatedly Invoked in Efforts to Defend Untenable Scientific Theories", or "FAIRIE DUST".

Black Holes
https://www.universetoday.com/114802/there-are-no-such-things-as-black-holes/#more-114802 (star blow up loses too much mass)
Discussion on FreeRepublic forum:
http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-chat/3207430/posts

https://www.google.com/search?client=opera&q=stephen+crothers++black+holes&sourceid=opera&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8
String Theory
Multiverses are basically a shot at overcoming the odds against evolutionism.

Milky Way and “Double Helix Nebula”
https://www.nasa.gov/vision/universe/starsgalaxies/milkyway_twist.html
Spiral galaxies and “jets”
Homopolar Motors

Ralph Sansbury

Subtron particles
Ralph Sansbury describes experiments in which currents generate electrostatic fields which reverse when the currents reverse and notes that this implies that electrons are not point particles but rather tiny orbital systems in their own right, the charges of the elements of which sum to that of an electron. He notes also that the computed necessary speed of a sub-electron particle (subtron) would get you from here to the Andromeda galaxy in a couple of seconds.

The laser/pockel cell experiment
Sansbury believed that light is an instantaneous force (which would also explain the failure of MM). The reason for that belief involves an experiment involving pockel cells and lasers.

A pockel cell is basically a nanosecond gate; since light appears to move about one foot in one nanosecond, putting one in front of a laser allows the user to throw little ten or fifteen foot spears of laser light at the far wall. Likewise, having a shield pockel cell in front of a light sensitive diode on the far wall allows one to either protect or not protect the diode from those spears of laser light. In Sansbury's experiment the shield was held closed for as long as it took to put the light spear in the air and then opened and the light spear should have passed through unhindered, but it did not. Every meaningful part of that laser spear had been absorbed AS IT WAS FORMING UP.

What Gravity Actually Is
Sansbury claimed that gravity, gyroscopic force, and the forced involved in sinkers and sliders in baseball involve radially oriented systems of electrostatic dipoles at a sub-atomic level:

Redneck Theory of Light

The machinegun analogy

Picture Teddy Roosevelt out on safari on the Serengeti. He calls one of the new guides over to a place where dung of both elephants and lions is to be seen and asks him what he makes of that; there is only one right answer: "Well Bwana, it looks as if some lions have been by here, and some elephants have been by here too..."

The WRONG answer, unacceptable if the man means to keep his job, would go more like "Well, Bwana, it looks as if some MAGICAL ANIMAL with properties of both lions AND elephants has been by here..."

Isn't that, however, exactly what you see when you open a physics book to the page on "photons(TM)"? When you see evidence of both waves and particles, isn't his most reasonable assumption to be had, simply that both waves and particles are present?

Ralph Sansbury describes light as an instantaneous force but I don't have an easy time buying the idea of any sort of a force traveling the kinds of distances between us and distant galaxies, and we DO see distant galaxies. Likewise I have no mental picture of any sort of a wave making it here from other galaxies, no matter how much of a "nutrino soup" might extend through space.

Here’s what I CAN picture. I can picture energetic processes including fires and plasma discharges throwing off streams of such subtron particles which then traverse the distance across galaxies in seconds as per Sansbury’s calculation, and create bow waves through the nutrino soup of our own local environment, i.e. that light amounts to streams of particles and the waves they create. The analogy would be with a machinegun, the light frequency being essentially the rate of fire.

I call this the redneck theory of light. If such a picture should turn out to be valid, it would indicate that light from distant galaxies has only taken a few seconds, and not untold ages, to get to our own local environment.

In other words, scientists might be making a mistake similar to thinking that the sonic crack of bullets flying overhead had traveled from a rifle barrel 800 meters away at the speed of sound. In real life the muzzle report of rifle fire 800 meters
away would be barely audible while the sonic crack of bullets, still easily capable of hurting your ears, had arrived at 2700 fps along with the bullets and was being generated locally.